

1 HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

BOARDS OF TRUSTEES OF THE  
PUGET SOUND ELECTRICAL  
WORKERS HEALTHCARE TRUST, et  
al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CEC ELECTRICAL CONTRACTING  
LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C18-1166 RAJ  
ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' "Motion to Extend Deadline to Serve and to Order to Serve by Alternative Method" on Defendant John W. Chase. Dkt. # 5. Plaintiffs request a fourteen day extension to perfect service on Mr. Chase, and for authorization to serve Mr. Chase via first-class mail pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e) and RCW 4.28.080. Dkt. # 5 at 2. Plaintiffs' proposed order also includes requests to set deadlines for Mr. Chase to respond and for Plaintiffs to file a motion for default. Dkt. # 5-1 at 2. For the reasons that follow, the Court **GRANTS IN PART AND DENIES IN PART** Plaintiffs' Motion.

1       Federal Rule of Procedure 4(e)(1) allows Plaintiff to effect service “pursuant to the  
2 law of the state in which the district is located.” In Washington, RCW 4.28.080 sets forth  
3 the requirements for service and provides, in pertinent part:

4       Service made in the modes provided in this section is personal service. The  
5 summons shall be served by delivering a copy thereof, as follows:  
6       ....  
7       (16) In all other cases, to the defendant personally, or by leaving a copy of  
8 the summons at the house of his or her usual abode with some person of  
9 suitable age and discretion then resident therein.  
10       (17) In lieu of service under subsection (16) of this section, where the person  
11 cannot with reasonable diligence be served as described, the summons may  
12 be served as provided in this subsection, and shall be deemed complete on  
13 the tenth day after the required mailing: By leaving a copy at his or her usual  
14 mailing address with a person of suitable age and discretion who is a resident,  
15 proprietor, or agent thereof, and by thereafter mailing a copy by first-class  
16 mail, postage prepaid, to the person to be served at his or her usual mailing  
17 address. For the purposes of this subsection, “usual mailing address” shall  
18 not include a United States postal service post office box or the person’s place  
19 of employment.

20       RCW 4.28.080. Plaintiffs have made multiple unsuccessful attempts to personally serve  
21 Mr. Chase at multiple addresses. Plaintiffs attempted to locate and serve Mr. Chase at his  
22 business addresses but were unable to do so. Dkt. # 6 at p. 2, ¶¶ 3, 6. Plaintiffs hired an  
23 investigator who uncovered an address in Lake Stevens that appears to be a single-family  
24 residence associated with Mr. Chase. Dkt. # 6 at 10-11. On August 21, 26, and 27,  
25 Plaintiffs’ process server attempted to serve Mr. Chase at this address, but was  
26 unsuccessful each time because there was either nobody home or the gate was closed.  
27 Dkt. # 6 at 5-8. On September 13, 2018, Plaintiffs sent copies of the Summons,  
28 Complaint, Civil Cover Sheet, and Standing Order to Mr. Chase at this Lake Stevens  
29 address via certified mail. *Id.* at p. 6, ¶ 5.

30       The Court finds that Plaintiffs have exercised reasonable diligence and finds good  
31 cause to extend the time to perfect service on Mr. Chase. However, the Court is  
32 disinclined, at this time, to grant Plaintiffs’ request to authorize service solely by first-

1 class mail. Although Plaintiffs have attempted to serve Mr. Chase at the Lake Stevens  
2 address multiple times, Plaintiffs do not give any indication that its process server left a  
3 copy of the Summons and Complaint at this address with either “a person of suitable age  
4 and discretion then resident therein,” or “a person of suitable age and discretion who is a  
5 resident, proprietor, or agent thereof.” RCW 4.28.080(16-17). These are clear  
6 requirements of the statute that Plaintiffs rely on and which have not, apparently, been  
7 met. Moreover, it is unclear from Plaintiffs’ filing whether the Lake Stevens address  
8 qualifies as a “usual mailing address” under RCW 4.28.080(17), as Plaintiffs’ certified  
9 mailing to this address was returned as “UNCLAIMED, Unable to forward.” Dkt. # 6 at  
10 p. 3, ¶ 8. Under Washington law, a “usual mailing address” must mean “some level of  
11 actual use for the receipt of mail or arrangements contemplating an actual use for  
12 receiving and forwarding mail.” *Goettmoeller v. Twist*, 161 Wash. App. 103, 109, 253  
13 P.3d 405, 408 (2011). Plaintiffs have not established this based on the current record.

14 Accordingly, Plaintiffs’ Motion is **GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED IN**  
15 **PART.** Dkt. # 5. The Court grants Plaintiffs a fourteen (14) day extension to perfect  
16 service of process on Defendant John W. Chase in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e)  
17 and RCW 4.28.080. Plaintiff’s request for authorization for alternative personal service  
18 on Defendant John W. Chase via first class mail is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.  
19 Plaintiffs’ Motion is otherwise **DENIED**.

20  
21 Dated this 14th day of December, 2018.

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27



22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
The Honorable Richard A. Jones  
United States District Judge